

**EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE REHABILITATED
IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN CENTRAL LUZON STATE
UNIVERSITY**

**CRISANTO G. NIEGOS
JIMNIE N. SOLOMON**

An Undergraduate Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the Department of Agricultural and
Biosystems Engineering, College of Engineering, Central Luzon State University,
Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

**BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURAL AND
BIOSYSTEMS ENGINEERING
(AB Land and Water Resources Engineering)**

DECEMBER 2023

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

The first author's name is Crisanto G. Niegos, the fifth child of Renato Niegos and Emilita Niegos. He was born on October 29, 2000, in Barangay Calisitan, Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija. He strongly believes in the opportunities that education brings. That is why he completed his elementary education at Calisitan Elementary School with the first honorable mention. He continued his junior high school education at Muñoz National High School-Main and graduated with honors. Then, he pursued the Humanities and Social Sciences (HUMSS) academic track and completed it with high honors.

Through these exemplary achievements in his elementary and high school education, he believed that challenging himself out of his comfort zone and following a more challenging path enabled him to grow more independently. That is why he continued his academic pursuit and took the Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering for his bachelor's degree at Central Luzon State University.

Aside from his academic pursuits, he finds an interest in films and literature. He believes that films and literature enable people to find their true selves and understand the different experiences, concepts, and perceptions. By understanding these factors, he thinks that kindness and love for family and friends are still the most important things in pursuing his journey.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

The second author, Jimmie N. Solomon, was born on July 21, 2000, in Tabacao, Talavera, Nueva Ecija—the second among three children of Mr. Hilario R. Solomon and Mrs. Corazon N. Solomon. A resident of Tabacao, Talavera, Nueva Ecija. Jaica and John Chesther are her loving siblings.

Her educational journey began at San Jose Elementary School, where she completed her elementary education in April 2013. She finished her junior and senior high school education at Tabacao National High School with honors. Then, in 2019, at Tabacao National High School, she pursued the Academic Track—General Academic Subject.

Also, she is dedicated to her studies in Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, focusing on AB Land and Water Resources Management at Central Luzon State University. Despite the misalignment of her academic track with her chosen profession, resulting in challenges, she successfully redirected her path with an unwavering commitment to her passion for agricultural engineering. The encouragement and guidance from her parents played a crucial role in pursuing her dreams.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to acknowledge with sincerest thanks and deepest gratitude the following who have greatly helped in the success and completion of this study:

Jeannie-Rose G. Fabula, Chair of their Advisory Committee, for all her valuable guidance and advice throughout the study. The authors appreciated her expertise and professionalism and will be seen as their excellent mentor in the field of agricultural and biosystems engineering.

Prof. Jonathan V. Fabula and Prof. Eliza E. Camaso, members of their Advisory Committee, for their insights, advice, and critical review of the study.

The staff and field managers of the respective R&D Centers, especially Sir Rovel Melegrito, who provided valuable assistance, cooperation, necessary data, resources, and information during the conduct of field activities for the study.

Their friends, classmates, and professors in BSABE for their unwavering support, companionship, and memorable experiences during their stay in CLSU.

Their family, especially their parents, for their all-out support, treasured love, concern, and understanding. Through them, the authors see the value of education and live without regrets.

Above all, the Almighty God, for continuous guidance and blessings, which strengthens the authors' faith to continue this study despite all odds in life.

This study is dedicated humbly to all of them.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xii
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xiv
ABSTRACT	xv
INTRODUCTION	1
Background of the Study	1
Statement of the Problem	2
Significance of the Study	3
Objectives of the Study	4
Scope and Limitation of the Study	5
Time and Place of the Study	5
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	7
Irrigation System	7
Performance Evaluation of an Irrigation System	7
System Delivery Performance	8
Productivity Performance	11
Economic Performance	12
Performance Evaluation Based on User's Satisfaction	12
METHODOLOGY	14
Conceptualization of the Study	14
Data Collection	15
System's Characterization	17
Performance Evaluation	18
System Delivery Performance	18
Productivity Performance	21
Economic Performance	21
Assessment of the User's Satisfaction on the Performance of the Rehabilitated Irrigation System	22
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	23

Characterization of the Irrigation System	23
Technical Description of the System	23
Rainfall and Soil Characteristics in the Service Area	31
Performance Evaluation	33
System Delivery Performance	33
Productivity Performance	45
Economic Performance	47
Performance Evaluation Based on User's Satisfaction	48
Improvement of System Performance	50
SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	53
Summary	53
Conclusions	55
Recommendations	56
LITERATURE CITED	58

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Seepage and percolation values for various soil textures (Source: NIA –Design Guides and Criteria for Irrigation Canals, O &M Roads, Drainage Channels and Appurtenant Structures)	10
2	Indicative values of the conveyance efficiency for adequately maintained canal	11
3	Indicative values of the field application efficiency	11
4	The designated subsection of the supply ditch canal of the rehabilitated irrigation system.	24
5	Command area before and after the rehabilitation of the irrigation network	25
6	Characteristics and structures of the irrigation network	29
7	Measured crossed sectional area of the inlet canals and outlets.	30
8	Amount of rainfall during the conduct of the study.	32
9	Soil series, soil type, seepage, and percolation rate within the service area of the irrigation network.	33
10	Water diverted into the earth canal and delivered in the command areas, and conveyance efficiency of the earthen canal during the dry season 2023.	34
11	Weekly total volume of water diverted into all 17 outlets during the first condition.	35
12	Weekly total volume of water delivered of all 17 outlets in their respective command areas during the first condition.	36
13	Weekly conveyance efficiency of all 17 outlets during the first condition.	37
14	Weekly total volume of water diverted into all 17 outlets during the first condition.	39
15	Weekly total volume of water delivered of all 17 outlets in their respective command areas during the first condition.	40
16	Weekly conveyance efficiency of all 17 outlets during the second condition.	41
17	Weekly field application efficiency of all 17 outlets during the first condition.	42
18	Weekly field application efficiency of all 17 outlets during the second condition.	44

19	Command areas per cropping season for each canal section before and after the rehabilitation of irrigation system.	45
20	Estimated gross income per cropping season for each canal section before and after the rehabilitation of the system.	46
21	OCMA per cropping season for each canal section before and after the rehabilitation of the system.	47
22	Descriptive statistics regarding the satisfaction levels of users in regards to the equity of the water distribution in rehabilitated irrigation system.	49
23	Descriptive statistics on the levels of user's satisfaction toward productivity brought by the rehabilitated irrigation system	49
24	Descriptive statistics on the levels of user's satisfaction toward efficiency of the rehabilitated irrigation system	50
25	Computed average water delivered by each outlets in their respective command areas to meet the indicative value of 60% field application efficiency for lined canal.	51

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Conceptual Framework of the Evaluation.	15
2	Map of the intake gate structures, the span of the supply ditch canal, and location of outlets and service areas.	23
3	Map of the command areas after the rehabilitation of the irrigation system.	27
4	Diagram of the rehabilitated irrigation network in CLSU.	28
5	Irrigation cost of the selected command areas before and after the rehabilitation of the irrigation system.	48

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
A	Appendix Tables	61
B	Appendix Figures	93

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

APPENDIX TABLE		PAGE
1	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 4 th week of January 2023.	61
2	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 1 st week of February 2023.	61
3	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 2 nd week of February 2023.	62
4	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 3 rd week of February 2023.	62
5	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 4 th week of February 2023.	63
6	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 1 st week of March 2023.	63
7	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 2 nd week of March 2023.	64
8	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 3 rd week of March 2023.	64
9	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 4 th week of March 2023.	65
10	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 1 st week of April 2023.	65
11	Total volume of water diverted in the earth canal and delivered in the supply ditch canal during the 2 nd week of April 2023.	66
12	Weekly velocity of water being diverted in all 17 outlets during the first condition.	66

13	Weekly velocity of water being delivered by all 17 outlets in their respective command areas during the first condition.	67
14	Weekly velocity of water being diverted in all 17 outlets during the second condition.	68
15	Weekly velocity of water being delivered by all 17 outlets in their respective command areas during the second condition.	69
16	T-test analysis at 95% confidence interval of command areas during the Wet and Dry Season before and after the rehabilitation of the irrigation system.	70
17	T-test analysis at 95% confidence interval of the estimated gross income during the Wet and Dry Season before and after the rehabilitation of the irrigation system.	70
18	T-test analysis at 95% confidence interval of the computed OCMA during the Wet and Dry Season before and after the rehabilitation of the irrigation system.	71
19	Irrigation cost before and after the rehabilitation of the irrigation system.	72
20	Survey questionnaires.	73

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

APPENDIX FIGURE		PAGE
1	Current meter used in the measurement of flow velocity.	93
2	Actual photo of the sample LCD display of current meter during the measurement of flow velocity.	93
3	Actual photo of the measurement of flow velocity in the earth canal.	94
4	Actual photo of the measurement of flow velocity diverted in the supply ditch canal.	94
5	Actual photo of the measurement of flow velocity delivered by outlet 1 in its command area.	95
6	Sample picture during the conduct of survey to the field managers in the selected command areas.	95
7	Actual photo of the inlet gate.	96
8	Actual image of not-functional ditch gate of outlet 1.	96
9	Dimension of the new and repaired parts and the outlets in the upstream section of the supply ditch canal.	97
10	Dimension of the new and repaired parts and the outlets in the midsection of the supply ditch canal.	98
11	Dimension of the new and repaired parts and the outlets in the tail end section of the supply ditch canal.	99

ABSTRACT

NIEGOS, CRISANTO G., SOLOMON, JIMNIE N., Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, College of Engineering, Central Luzon State University, Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines, **December 2023**, **EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE REHABILITATED IRRIGATION SYSTEM IN CENTRAL LUZON STATE UNIVERSITY.**

Adviser: JEANNIE-ROSE G. FABULA, Ph.D.

The university rehabilitated the 2.44-kilometer deteriorating supply ditch canal to increase the irrigated areas and improve productivity in the selected R&D centers. The study evaluated the performance of the rehabilitated irrigation system by measuring the system delivery, productivity, and economic performance. The results show that a higher system delivery performance is obtained following a rotational irrigation scheme with a conveyance efficiency equal to 94.78% to 95.78% in outlets 5, 13, and 17, and field application efficiency equal to 74% to 86% in outlets 1, 9, and 14. The measured volume of water diverted weekly in the supply ditch canal was insufficient to reach some command areas. This may be effected by the ineffective cross sectional of the canal that was not covered in the rehabilitation. After the system's rehabilitation, the command areas, gross income, and output per command area were somewhat increased. From an economic perspective, after the rehabilitation, the selected command areas have a reduction in irrigation cost about PhP 6898.27/ha/year, PhP 31541.21/ha/year, and PhP 1137.68/ha/year in the upstream, midsection, and tail end sections of the ditch canal, respectively. Through this findings, the study recommended the implementation of rotational irrigation scheme to ensure the equal distribution of total water being delivered to all outlets. Also, proper scheduling based on the farm irrigation requirement of the crops

planted in the respective command areas need to implement to improve the efficiencies, increased the productivity and ensure economic viability in all canal sections.

Keywords: irrigation system; conveyance efficiency; field application efficiency

LITERATURE CITED

- Abubakar Tadda, M., Ahsan, A., Imteaz, M., Shitu, A., Abdulkaki Danhassan, U., & Idris Muhammad, A. (2020). Operation and Maintenance of Hydraulic Structures. In *Hydraulic Structures - Theory and Applications*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91949>
- Berihune, Z., & Moges, M. (2022). *Performance Evaluation of Small-scale Irrigation Scheme: Case Study of Selamko Irrigation Scheme, Upper Blue Nile - Ethiopia*. Research Square. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1684891/v1>
- Bos, M. G. (1997). Performance Indicators for Irrigation and Drainage. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, 11(2), 119–137. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005826407118>
- Bos, M. G., Burton, M. A., & Molden, D. J. (2005). Irrigation and Drainage Performance Assessment: Practical Guidelines. *Irrigation and Drainage Performance Assessment: Practical Guidelines*, 1–158. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851999678.0000>
- Bos, M. G., Murray-Rust, D. H., Merrey, D. J., Johnson, H. G., & Snellen, W. B. (1993). Methodologies for Assessing Performance of Irrigation and Drainage Management. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, 7(4), 231–261. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881553>
- Brouwer, C., Prins, K., Kay, M., & Heibloem, M. (1985). *Irrigation Water Management: Training Manual No. 5: Irrigation Methods*. Rome, Italy: FAO. <https://www.fao.org/3/S8684E/s8684e00.htm>
- Clemente, R. (2016). Technical and Institutional Evaluation of Selected National Irrigation Systems.
- Determination of Irrigation Water Requirements. (2017). In *Philippine National Standard*. https://amtec.ceat.uplb.edu.ph/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/PNS-BAFS-PAES-217_2017-Determination-of-Irrigation-Water-Requirements.pdf
- Food and Agriculture Organization. (2023). *Irrigation Design Manual*. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cc4383en>
- Gebeyehu, M. N. (2019). Remote Sensing and GIS Application in Agriculture and Natural Resource Management. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences & Natural Resources*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.19080/IJESNR.2019.19.556009>
- Inocencio, A. B., Elazegui, D. D., Luyun, R. A., & Rola, A. C. (2018). Agricultural Water Management Issues in the Philippines (pp. 117–141). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70969-7_6

- Lin, D. (2008). *Performance Evaluation of Participatory Irrigation Management by the Supply Chain Balanced Scorecard Approach*. <https://edepot.wur.nl/15185>
- Luyun, R., Goloso, J. R., Delos Reyes, R., & Duka, M. (2021). Paddy Fields at the Upper Pampanga River Integrated Irrigation System (UPRIIS), Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.48196/017.02.2021.01>
- Luyun, R. Jr. (2015). Technical Assessment of Communal Irrigation Systems in Luzon.
- Malhotra, S., Raheja, S., & Seckler, D. (1984). A methodology for monitoring the performance of large-scale irrigation systems: A case study of the warabandi system of northwest India. *Elsevier*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0309586X8490044X>
- Mohammadi, A., Parvaresh Rizzi, A., & Abbasi, N. (2019). Field measurement and analysis of water losses at the main and tertiary levels of irrigation canals: Varamin Irrigation Scheme, Iran. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 18, e00646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00646>
- Molden, D. J., Sakthivadivel, R., Perry, C. J., Fraiture, C. de, & Kloezen, W. H. (1998). *Indicators for Comparing Performance of Irrigated Agricultural Systems*. 26. <https://doi.org/10.3910/2009.028>
- Norman, D. (2002). *The Farming Systems Approach: A Historical Perspective*. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251791709_The_farming_systems_approach_A_historical_perspective
- Savva, A., & Frenken, K. (2001). *Irrigation Manual: Planning, Development, Monitoring and Evaluation of Irrigated Agriculture with Farmer Participation*. <https://www.fao.org/3/ai596e/ai596e00.htm>
- Small, L. E., & Svendsen, M. (1990). A Framework for Assessing Irrigation Performance. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, 4(4), 283–312. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01103710>
- Walker, W. R. (1989). Guidelines for Designing and Evaluating Surface Irrigation Systems. *FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper*. 137. <https://www.fao.org/3/T0231E/T0231E00.htm>
- Williams, J., Llewelyn, R., Delano, D., & Thangavelu, L. (1998). *Irrigation Cost Estimation Procedures Used in the Irrigation Economics Evaluation System (IEES)*. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/268291672_Irrigation_Cost_Estimation_Procedures_Used_in_the_Irrigation_Economics_Evaluation_System_IEES