

**CONTRIBUTION OF FUNCTIONAL STAY GREEN CAPACITY FOR
IMPROVING DROUGHT TOLERANCE IN RICE UNDER SOIL
MOISTURE DEFICIT CONDITION**

EDUARLITO F. BAUTISTA JR.

An Undergraduate Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the Department of Biological
Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Central Luzon State University,
Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

**BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN BIOLOGY
(Major in Botany)**

JUNE 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF APPENDICES	ix
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	x
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xii
ABSTRACT	xiv
INTRODUCTION	1
Background of the Study	1
Objective of the Study	3
Significance of the Study	3
Scope and Limitation of the Study	4
Time and Place of the Study	4
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	5
Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)	5
Stay Green Capacity	5
Drought	7
Effect of Drought Stress on Growth and Yield of Rice	7
Root System	8
MATERIALS AND METHODS	10
Experimental Treatments and Design	10
Cultural Management and Practices	11

Root Extraction	13
Yield and Yield Components Parameters	13
Root Parameters	15
Statistical Analysis	16
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	17
Water Use and Soil Moisture Dynamics during Post Heading Drought	17
Stay Green Capacities	19
Shoot and Growth Development	21
Yield and Yield Components	22
Water Use and Water Use Efficiency	26
Root System Development	27
Relationship among root system development responses to drought condition, water use, water use efficiency and yield components.	29
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	32
Summary	32
Conclusion	33
Recommendations	34
LITERATURE CITED	35
APPENDICES	41

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	The varieties and densification of the drought tolerant rice varieties differencing in stay green capacity.	10
2	Water Treatments	11
3	Soil plant analysis development (SPAD) and Leaf color chart (LCC) reading of three varieties during heading stage prior to the imposition of water treatments and 14 days after the imposition of continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	20
4	Plant height (cm), leaf area (cm ²) and shoot dry weight (g) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	22
5	Number of tillers, panicle, grain weight (g) and unfilled spikelets of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	25
6	Percent of filled spikelets (%FS), 1000-GW and filled spikelets of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD)	25
7	Water use (cc per plant) and water use efficiency (WUE) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	27
8	Root system development and components of root traits of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	29
9	Relationship among roots traits, water use and grain weight of rice varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	31

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Daily water use of three varieties during post heading drought (PHD) conditions	17
2	Daily changes in soil moisture content (SMC) of three varieties during post heading drought (PHD) conditions.	18

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
A	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Tables	42
B	Photo Documentation	49

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

APPENDIX TABLE	PAGE	
1	Analysis of Variance on LCC reading of three varieties during heading prior to the imposition of water treatments of continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	42
2	Analysis of Variance on LCC reading of three varieties 14days after the imposition of continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	42
3	Analysis of Variance on SPAD reading of three varieties during heading prior to the imposition of water treatments of continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	43
4	Analysis of Variance on SPAD reading of three varieties 14days after the imposition of continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions.	43
5	Analysis of Variance on Plant height (cm per plant) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions.	43
6	Analysis of Variance on Total leaf Area (cm ²) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions.	44
7	Analysis of Variance on Shoot dry weight (g per plant) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions.	44
8	Analysis of Variance on Number of tillers per plant of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought stress (PHD) conditions.	44
9	Analysis of Variance on Number of panicle per plant of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought stress (PHD) conditions.	45

10	Analysis of Variance on Grain weight (g per plant) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought stress (PHD) conditions	45
11	Analysis of Variance on Number of unfilled spikelets per plant of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought stress (PHD) conditions	45
12	Analysis of Variance on One thousand grain weight (g per plant) of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought stress (PHD) conditions.	46
13	Analysis of Variance on Number of filled spikelets of three varieties grown under continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHD) conditions	46
14	Analysis of Variance on water use as affected by different water treatments	46
15	Analysis of Variance on nodal roots as affected by different water treatments	47
16	Analysis of Variance on Total Lateral Root Length affected by different water treatments	47
17	Analysis of Variance on Total Root Length affected by different water treatments	47
18	Analysis of Variance on Total nodal root length affected by different water treatments	48
19	Analysis of Variance on Root Dry weight (RDW) affected by different water treatments	48

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

APPENDIX FIGURE		PAGE
1	Soil preparation	49
2	Weighing of 15kg of soil	49
3	Weighing of fertilizer	50
4	Germination of seeds	50
5	Placing of 15kg soil in pots	50
5	Weighing of Pots filled with 15kg of soil	51
6	Adding of 4 liters of water on each pot.	51
7	Seed sowing	51
8	Thinning	52
9	Measuring plant height	52
10	Measuring LCC	52
11	Measuring Leaf Chlorophyll using SPAD	53
12	Weighing pots for Post heading Drought (PHR) treatments	53
13	Adding of water	53
14	Leaf Area meter	54
15	Root Extraction	54
16	Counting of Nodal Roots Number (NRN)	54
17	Scanning of Roots	55
18	Separating Grains	55
19	Separating Filled and Unfilled grains	55

20	Oven dry of roots and grains for 48 hrs	56
21	Weighing of grains	56
22	Weighing of 1000 grain	56
23	Weighing of dry roots	57

ABSTRACT

BAUTISTA, EDUARLITO F., Department of Biological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Central Luzon State University, Science City of Munoz Ecija, Philippines, **CONTRIBUTION OF FUNCTIONAL STAY GREEN CAPACITY FOR IMPROVING DROUGHT TOLERANCE IN RICE UNDER SOIL MOISTURE DEFICIT CONDITION.**

Adviser: JERWIN R. UNDAN, Ph.D.

Co-Adviser: ROEL R. SURALTA, Ph.D.

Generally, study aimed to determine the overall contribution of functional stay green capacity in increasing the dry matter production and yield of drought tolerant rice under soil moisture deficit condition. Three varieties (IR64, NSIC Rc9, and Kutsiyam) were grown under two treatments such as continuously waterlogged (CWL) and post heading drought (PHR). At flowering stage half of each varieties was separated in CWL which was maintained at 55% and while in PHR was maintained at 12% soil moisture condition.

Based on the results of the study the three varieties showed have high stay green capacity under both the CWL and PHD conditions. Kutsiyam had the highest stay green capacity while the IR64 and NSIC Rc9 have medium stay green capacity. The production of higher grain weight was a function of higher WU and higher WUE brought about by relatively higher TRL and possibly photosynthetic rate. Furthermore, higher WU as a function of bigger root system based on TRL under PHD is also needed. Thus, key traits under post heading drought conditions must be a combination of greater root system brought about by higher constitutive development and/or plasticity during periods of drought stress and a functional stay green character.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abd, Allah, A. A. (2004). A breeding study on drought tolerance in rice. *Egyptian Journal of Agriculture. Research*, 82, 149-165.
- Acreche, M. M., & Slafer, G. A. (2006). Grain weight response to increases in number of grains in wheat in a Mediterranean area. *Field Crop Resource*. 98, 52 – 59.
- Agam, N., Evett, S. R., Tolk, J. A., Kustas, W.P., Colaizzi, P. D., Alfieri, J. G., McKee, L. G., Copeland, K. S., Howell, T. A., Chávez, J. L., (2012). Evaporative loss from irrigated inter rows in a highly advective semi-arid agricultural area. *Advance Water Resoucre*, 50, 20–30.
- Araus, J. L., Slafer, G. A., Royo, C., & Serret, M. D. (2008). Breeding for yield potential and stress adaptation in cereals. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 27, 377–412.
- Azhiri-sigari T, Yamauchi A, Kamoshita A, & Wade Lj. (2000). Genotypic variation in response of rainfed lowland rice to drought and rewatering. II. Root growth. *Plant Production Science*, 3, 180–188.
- Balaji, T. & Jawahar, D. (2007). Comparison of LCC and SPAD methods for assessing nitrogen requirement of rice. *Crop Research* 33, 30-34.
- Balisacan, A. M., & Ravago, M. L. V. (2003). The Rice Problem in the Philippines: Trends, Constraints, and Policy Imperatives. *Transactions of the National Academy Science & Technology Philippines*, 25, 221 -236.
- Banabas, B. Jäger, K., & Fehér, A. (2008). The effect of drought and heat stress on reproductive processes in cereals. *Plant Cell Environment*, 31, 11–38.
- Blum, A. (2009). Effective use of water (EUW) and not water-use efficiency (WUE) is the target of crop yield improvement under drought stress. *Field Crops Resource*, 112, 119–123.
- Budhar, M., N. (2005). Leaf Colour Chart based nitrogen management in direct seeded puddled rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Fertiliser News*, 50, 41- 44.
- Castillo, E. G. T. P. Tuong, U. Singh, K. Inubushi & Padilla, J. (2006). Drought response of dry-seeded rice to water stress timing and N-fertilizer rates and sources. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, and ethylene*, 52, 496-508.
- Cha, K. W., Koh, H. J., Lee, Y. J., Lee, B. M., Nam, Y. W. & Paek, N. C. (2002) Isolation, characterization, and mapping of the stay-green mutant in rice. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 104, 526–532.

- Farooq, M., Basra, S. M. A. & Wahid, A. (2006). Priming of field sown rice seed enhances germination, seedling establishment, allometry and yield. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 49, 285–294.
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., & Basra, S. M. A. (2009). Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management. *Sustainable Agriculture*, 29, 153–188.
- Ferrante, A., Savin, R. & Slafer, G. A. (2015). Relationship between fruiting efficiency and grain weight in durum wheat. *Field Crops Research*, 177, 109–116.
- Fischer, K. S., Fukai, S., Kumar, A., Leung, H., & Jongdee, B. (2012). Field phenotyping strategies and breeding for adaptation of rice to drought. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 3, 282–302.
- Fotovat, R., Valizadeh, M., & Toorchi, M. (2007). Association between water-use efficiency components and total chlorophyll content (SPAD) in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under well-watered and drought stress conditions. *Journal Food Agriculture Environment*, 5, 225–227.
- Fukai, S. & M. Cooper. (1995). Development of drought-resistant cultivars using physiological traits in rice. *Field Crops*, 40, 47–86.
- Gowda, V. P., Henry, A., Yamauchi, A., Shashidhar, H. E. & R. Serraj. (2011). Root biology and genetics improvement for drought avoidance in rice. *Field Crops Resources*, 122, 1–3.
- Gregersen PL., Culectie A., Boschian L., Krupinska K. (2013) Plant senescence and crop productivity. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 82, 603–622.
- Hortensteiner, S., (2009). Stay green regulates chlorophyll and chlorophyll-binding protein degradation during senescence. *Trends Plant Science*, 14, 155–62.
- Ishimaru, T., T. Hirose, T. Matsuda, A. Goto, K. Takahashi, H. Sasaki, T. Terao, R.I. Ishii, R. Ohsugi and T. Yamagishi, (2005). Expression patterns of gene encoding carbohydrate-metabolizing enzymes and their relationship to grain filling in rice (*Oryza sativa*): comparison of caryopses located at different position in panicle. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 46, 620–628.
- Jang, G. H., He, Y. Q., Xu, C. G., Li, X. H. and Zhang, Q. (2004). The genetic basic of stay-green in rice analyzed in population of doubled haploid lines derived from an indica by japonica cross. *Theoretical Applied Genetics*, 108, 688–698.
- Joshi, A. K., Kumari, M., Singh, V. P., Reddy, C. M., Kumar, S., Rane, J., & Chand, R. (2007). Stay green trait: Variation, inheritance and its association with spot blotch resistance in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica Journal*, 153, 59–71.

- Kamoshita, A., Wade L. J., & Yamauchi, A. (2000). Genotypic variation in response of rainfed lowland rice to drought and rewatering. Water extraction during drought period. *Plant Production Science* 3, 189–196.
- Kamoshita, A., Babu, R. C., Boopathi, N. M., & Fukai, S. (2008). Phenotypic and genotypic analysis of drought-resistance traits for development of rice cultivars adapted to rainfed environments. *Field Crops Research*, 109, 1–23.
- Kano, M., Inukai, Y., Kitano, H., & Yamauchi, A. (2011). Root plasticity as the key root trait for adaptation to various intensities of drought stress in rice. *Plant Soil* 342, 117–128.
- Kano-Nakata, M., Inukai, Y., Wade, L. J., Siopongco, J. D. L.C., & Yamauchi, A., (2011). Root development and water uptake, and shoot dry matter production under water deficit conditions in two CSSLs of rice: functional roles of root plasticity. *Plant Production Science*. 14, 329–339.
- Kassahun, B., Bidinger, F. R., Hash, C. T., & Kuruvinashetti, M. S. (2009). Stay-green expression in early generation sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] QTL introgression lines. *Euphytica*, 172, 351–362.
- Kato, Y., A. Kamoshita, & Yamagishi, J. (2006). Growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars under upland conditions with different levels of water supply: Grain yield. *Plant Production Science*. 9, 435–445.
- Katoa, Y., A. Kamoshitab & Yamagishia, J. (2008). Preflowering abortion reduces spikelet upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under water stress. *Crop Science Society of America*, 48, 2389-2395.
- Kaya, M. D., Okcub, G., Ataka, M., Cikilic, Y., & Kolsaricia, O. (2006). Seed treatments to overcome salt and drought stress during germination in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *European Journal Agronomy*, 24, 291–295.
- Kobata, T., Okuno, T., & Yamamoto. T. (1996). Contributions of capacity for soil water extraction and water use efficiency to maintenance of dry matter production in rice subjected to drought. *Japan Journal Crop Science*. 65, 652-662.
- Komoshita, A, A., Babu, R. C., Boopathi, N. M., & S. Fukai. (2008). Phenotypic and genotypic analysis of drought-resistance traits for development of rice cultivars adapted to rainfed environments. *Field Crops*, 342, 128.
- Li, X., Guo, Z., Lv, Y., Cen, X., Ding, X., Wu, H., & Xiong, L. (2017). Genetic control of the root system in rice under normal and drought stress conditions by genome-wide association study. *PLoS Genetics*, 13, 1–24.
- Lobell, D. B., Schlenker, W., & Costa-Roberts, J. (2011). Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. *Science*, 333, 616–620.

- Lonbani M., & Arzani, A. (2011). Morpho-physiological traits associated with terminal drought stress tolerance in triticale and wheat. *Agronomy Research*, 9:315-329.
- Luche, H. D. S., Antonio, J., & Nörnberg, R. (2013). Performance per se and genetic parameters of wheat strains with the expression "stay green," 1, 167-173.
- Luo, P., Ren, Z., Wu, X., Zhang, H., Zhang, H., & Feng, J. (2006). Structural and biochemical mechanism responsible for the stay-green phenotype in common wheat. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 51, 2595-2603.
- Ming, D. F., Pei Z. F., Naeem M. S., Gong H. J., & Zhou W. J. (2011). Silicon alleviates PEG-induced water-deficit stress in upland rice seedlings by enhancing osmotic adjustment. *Journal Agronomy of Crop Science*, 198, 14-26.
- Mostagen, A., & Eichi, V. R. (2009). Effects of drought stress on the growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) Cultivars and accumulation of proline and soluble sugar in sheath and blades of their different ages leaves American-Ervas. *Journal of Agriculture Environmental Science*, 5, 264-272.
- Moya, P., Hong, L., Dawe, D., & Chen, C. (2004). The impact of on-farm water saving irrigation techniques on rice productivity and profitability in Zhanghe irrigation system, Hubei, China. *Paddy Water Environment*. 2, 207-215.
- Niones, J. M., Suralta, R. R., Inukai, Y., & Yamauchi, A. (2012). Field evaluation on functional roles of root plastic responses on dry matter production and grain yield of rice under cycles of transient soil moisture stresses using chromosome segment substitution lines. *Plant and Soil*, 359, 107-120.
- O'Toole, J. C., & Bland, W. L. (1987). Genotypic variation in crop plant root system. *Advances in Agronomy*, 41, 91-145.
- Pandey S, Pal S. (2007). Are less-favored environments over-invested? The case of rice research in India. *Food Policy* 32, 606-623.
- Pandey, S., & Bhandari, H. (2008). Drought: Economic costs and research. *Drought Frontiers in Rice*. 3-17.
- Pandey, V., & Shukla, A. (2015). Acclimation and tolerance strategies of rice under drought stress. *Rice Science*, 22, 147-161.
- Parry, M. A. J., Reynolds, M., Salvo, M. E., Ramirez, C., Andrade, P. J., Zhu, X. G., & Furbank, R. T. (2011). Raising yield potential of wheat II. Increasing photosynthetic capacity and efficiency. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 62, 453-467.

- Peng, S., B. Bouman, R.M. Visperas, A. Castaneda, L. Nie, & H.-K. Park. (2006). Comparison between aerobic and flooded rice in the tropics: Agronomic performance in an eight-season experiment. *Field Crops Resource*, 96, 252–259.
- Rawson H., Hindmarsh J., Fischer R. & Stockman Y. (1983). Changes in leaf photosynthesis with plant ontogeny and relationship with yield per year in wheat cultivars and 120 progenies. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 10, 161-166.
- Sathiya, K., & Ramesh, T. (2009). Effect of split application of nitrogen on growth and yield of aerobic rice. *Asian Journal Experiment Science*, 231, 303-306.
- Science, B., Adu, M. O., Sparkes, D. L., Parmar, A., & Yawson, D. O. (2011). Stay green in wheat: comparative study of modern bread wheat and ancient wheat cultivars. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 6, 16–24.
- Serraj, R., McNally, K. L., Slamet-Loedin, I., Kohli, A., Haefele, S. M., Atlin, G., & Kumar, A. (2011). Drought resistance improvement in rice: an integrated genetic and resource management strategy. *Plant Production Science*, 1, 1–14.
- Silva, J.A., G. da, Carvalho, F. I., de, Hartwig, I., Oliveira, A. C., de, Bertan, I., Caetano, V. da R., & Busato, C.C. (2008). Stay-green character and grain yield in wheat. *Bragantia*, 67, 161-167.
- Silva, S. A., Carvalho, F. I. de, Nedel, J.L., Cruz, P.J., Peske, S.T., Simioni, D., & Cargnin, A. (2003). Seed filling in quasi-isogenic lines of wheat with presence and absence of stay-green character. *Agropecuária Brasileira*, 38, 613-618.
- Spano, G., Fonzo, N. Di, Perrotta, C., Platani, C., Ronga, G., Lawlor, D. W., & Napier, J. A. (2003). Physiological characterization of 'stay green' mutants in durum wheat. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54, 1415-1420.
- Suralta, R. R., Inukai, Y. & Yamauchi, A. (2010). Dry matter production in relation to root plastic development, oxygen transport and water uptake of rice under transient soil moisture stresses. *Plant and Soil*, 332, 87-104.
- Suralta, R. R., Inukai, Y., & Yamauchi, A. (2008). Utilizing chromosome segment substitution lines (CSSLS) for evaluation of root responses to transient moisture stresses in rice. *Plant Production Science*, 11, 457–465.
- Thell, L., Ahlawat, S., Chhabra, A. K., Behl, R. K., Bisht, S. S., & Triticum, W. (2008). Genotypic divergence analysis for stay green characters in in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell). *South Pacific Journal of Natural Sciences*, 26, 73-81
- Thomas, H., & Howarth, J., C. (2000). Five ways to stay green. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 51, 329-337.

- Tran, T. T., Kano-Nakata, M., Takeda, M., Menge, D., Mitsuya, S., Inukai, Y., & Yamauchi, A. (2014). Nitrogen application enhanced the expression of developmental plasticity of root systems triggered by mild drought stress in rice. *Plant and Soil*, 378, 139–152.
- Uga, Y., Okuni, K. & Yano, M. (2011). *Dro1*, a major QTL involve in deep rooting of rice under upland conditions, *Journal Experimental Botany*, 62, 2485-2894.
- Van Halsema, G.E., & Vincent, L. (2012). Efficiency and productivity terms for water management: A matter of contextual relativism versus general absolutism. *Agriculture Water Management*, 108, 9–15.
- Wade, L. J., Kamoshita, A., Yamauchi, A. & T. Azhiri- Sigari. (2000). Genotypic variation in response of rainfed lowland rice to drought and rewatering. I. Growth and water use. *Plant Production Science*, 3, 173-179
- Wang, H., & Yamauchi, A. (2006). Growth and function of roots under abiotic stress soils. In B. Huang ed., *Plant-Environment Interactions* 3rd ed. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, LLC, New York. 271-320.
- Wassmann, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., & Dobermann, A. (2007). Irrigated rice production system and greenhouse gas emission: Crop and residue management trends, climate change impacts and mitigation strategies. *Perspective in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 2, 4-14
- Yamauchi, A. (1998). Root traits for measurement. In Root Encyclopedia *Editorial Committee Edition Root. Encyclopedia. Asakurashoten, Tokyo, Japan.* 74-375.
- Yamauchi, A., Kono, Y., Tatsumi, J. (1987). Quantitative analysis on root system structures of upland rice and maize. *Journal Crop Science*, 56, 606–647.
- Yamauchi, A., Pardales, J. R. J. & Kono, Y. (1996). Root system structure and its relation to stress tolerance. In Ito, O., Johansen, C., Adu-Gyamfi, J. J., Katayama, K., Kumar Rao, J. V. D. K. and Rego, T. J. (Eds.), Dynamics of roots and nitrogen in cropping systems of the semi-arid tropics. Tsukuba, Japan: *Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences*, 211-233.
- Yang, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., Zhu, Q., & Liu, L. (2002). Abscisic acid and cytokinins in the root exudates and leaves and their relationship to senescence and remobilization of carbon reserves in rice subjected to water stress during grain filling. *Planta*, 215, 645–652.
- Zubarer, M.A., A. K. M. M. B. Chowdhury, M. Z., Islam, T. Ahmed., & Hasan M. A. (2007). Effects of water stress on growth and yield attributes of Aman rice Varieties. *International Journal of Sustainable Crop*, 2, 25-30.