

**DEVELOPMENT OF SINGLE-ROW WEEDER-CULTIVATOR
FOR SOYBEAN PRODUCTION**

**LYNELL G. DUQUE
LOUSE NICOLE V. PULINTAN**

An Undergraduate Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the Department of Agricultural and
Biosystems Engineering, College of Engineering, Central Luzon State University,
Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

**BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURAL AND BIOSYSTEMS
ENGINEERING
(AB Machinery and Power Engineering)**


FEBRUARY 2024

ACCEPTANCE SHEET


This undergraduate thesis entitled “**DEVELOPMENT OF SINGLE-ROW WEEDER-CULTIVATOR FOR SOYBEAN PRODUCTION**”, prepared and submitted by **LYNELL G. DUQUE and LOUSE NICOLE V. PULINTAN**, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURAL AND BIOSYSTEMS ENGINEERING (AB MACHINERY AND POWER ENGINEERING)**, is hereby accepted:


MARLON T. DELOS SANTOS, M.Sc.
Member, Advisory Committee

01 | 19 | 2024
Date Signed


ROLDAN T. QUITOS, M.Sc.
Member, Advisory Committee

01 | 22 | 24
Date Signed



JOHN VINCENT A. NATE, M.Sc.
Chair, Advisory Committee

01 | 18 | 24
Date Signed

Accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURAL AND BIOSYSTEMS ENGINEERING (AB MACHINERY AND POWER ENGINEERING)**:


ELMAR M. VILLOTA, Ph.D.
Chair, Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering

01 | 24 | 24
Date Signed


THEODY B. SAYCO, Ph.D.
Dean, College of Engineering

01 | 24 | 24
Date Signed

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

The first researcher, Lynell G. Duque, was born on December 14, 2001 in Cabanatuan City, Nueva Ecija. She resides in Brgy. Cabu, Cabanatuan City, Nueva Ecija. She is the elder one between the two children of Mrs. Annalyn G. Duque, and Mr. Ronnel F. Duque. Lynell Duque completed her primary education at Cabanatuan East Central School, and secondary education at Honorato C. Perez Sr., Memorial Science High School, which she graduated with honors. Today, she is currently in the 4th year of her tertiary education at Central Luzon State University, pursuing Bachelor of Science in Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering. She is currently an active member of the Engineering Computer Club.

The second researcher, Louse Nicole V. Pulintan, was born on March 14, 2001 in Cabanatuan City, Nueva Ecija. She resides in Brgy. Abar 1st, San Jose City, Nueva Ecija. She is the elder one between the four children of Mrs. Monnette V. Pulintan and Mr. Jomer D.A Pulintan . Louse Nicole Pulintan completed her primary education at Abar 1st Elementary School, and secondary education which she graduated with honors (Junior High) at Saint John's Academy School and (Senior High) at Saint Joseph School . Today, she is currently in 4th year of her tertiary education at Central Luzon State University, pursuing Bachelor of Science in Agriculture and Biosystems Engineering. She is currently an active member of The Mechanics Organization (Photo-Journalist).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first researcher, Lynell G. Duque, would like to express her deepest gratitude to the Almighty God and the following individuals who played a crucial role in the completion of this thesis:

First and foremost, she extends her appreciation to her adviser, Engr. John Vincent A. Nate, for his invaluable guidance, and insightful feedback throughout the research process. His expertise and dedication have been instrumental in shaping the direction of this work. Also, she is indebted to the members of her thesis committee, Engr. Roldan T. Quitos and Engr. Marlon T. Delos Santos, for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that significantly enhanced the quality of this research.

Her sincere appreciation goes to Mr. Nestor D. Pagaduan, for the time and effort he invested in the preliminary and final testing of the machine, offering suggestions, and engaging in thoughtful discussions that enriched the overall quality of the research. Also to the staff of the Crops and Resources Research and Development Center (CRRDC) for the guidance and support during whole conduct.

Additionally, her deepest gratitude goes to Engr. Jerome B. Cabuloy and Mr. Joseph G. Ariola for helping the researchers throughout the process of the machine making. Their willingness to share their expertise and time generously contributed a lot to finish the entire thesis process.

A special thanks to her family, her father, Ronnel F. Duque, mother, Annalyn G. Duque, and sibling, Jejomar G. Duque for their unwavering encouragement, understanding, and patience. Their unconditional support served as her anchor, providing

the motivation and strength to navigate the challenges of academia.

She is grateful to her friends and colleagues, special mention to Rowie, Erjen, Dondon, Cheenie, Jhanica, Jamie, Nadine and the rest of the safe-and-sound and blessings family, who contributed to stimulating discussions, shared resources, and offered encouragement during both the highs and lows of this academic journey.

She expresses her most sincere and heartfelt appreciation to her life partner, Charlene Gayle A. Galam, for her patience during late nights and weekends when her focus was devoted to this endeavor. Her understanding and encouragement during moments of doubt were the pillars that kept her determined and motivated. Your belief in her abilities served as a constant reminder of her potential, even during the challenging times. Her unwavering support is the cornerstone of her success, thank you for making this whole journey more meaningful and rewarding. Your presence and encouragement was worth more than she can express on paper.

Completing this thesis was a journey that would not be possible without the invaluable dedication, and collaboration with Louse Nicole V. Pulintan, her research partner, her intellectual insights and tireless efforts significantly shaped the direction and quality of this thesis. Your discussions, brainstorming sessions, and collaborative efforts was instrumental in refining the concepts and ideas presented in this work. Thank you for being an exceptional thesis partner and for sharing this intellectual journey with her.

Again, the researcher expresses her acknowledgement for the Central Luzon State University for providing the necessary resources and a conducive environment for the academic pursuit, and for the people who may not be mentioned , but played a role, big or small, and took a part of this significant milestone in her academic journey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The second researcher, Louse Nicole V. Pulintan, would like to express her deepest gratitude to the many individuals who was instrumental in the completion of this thesis, with a special acknowledgment to her family who served as her pillar of strength.

Her sincere appreciation goes to her Advisor, Engr. John Vincent A. Nate, whose guidance, expertise, and continuous encouragement have been invaluable. Their insights and commitment have shaped this thesis in profound ways.

Her extend heartfelt thanks to her thesis committee members, Engr. Roldan T. Quitos , and Engr. Marlon T. Delos Santos, for their valuable feedback and constructive suggestions that have significantly contributed to the refinement of this research.

Additionally, she expresses her heartfelt gratitude to Mr. Nestor D. Pagaduan, for the for his enthusiasm and immense knowledge during the whole conduct in Crops and Resources Research and Development Center (CRRDC), and to the staff as a whole. Also, to Engr. Jerome B. Cabuloy and Mr. Joseph G. Ariola who played a significant role in shaping this thesis.

To her family, especially to her Mother, Monnette V. Pulintan, words cannot express her gratitude for the boundless support. Her understanding, patience, effort for making money and belief in her abilities was a constant source of motivation. To her father, Jomer D.A Pulintan, her siblings, Denise Michaela Pulintan, Dony Voltaire Pulintan, and Lance Raphael Pulintan, her Grandmother, Neneng G. Villanueva, her cousins JM and Nica, and to her aunties, Michelle Villanueva and Marjorie Villanueva, thank for being her inspiration and pillow throughout her challenging and crying journey.

Her grateful thanks to her wonderful boyfriend, Aubrey Jameel Paraiso. She cannot express the gratitude she feel for standing by her side, understanding the late nights and the moments of stress, and for being a constant source of her inspiration. This achievement is as much yours as it was her. Thank you .

She also want to acknowledge her friends and colleagues for their camaraderie, shared insights, and encouragement. Your presence has added a sense of community to this academic pursuit.

Beyond the academic contributions of her thesis partner, Lynell G. Duque, emotional support and encouragement during the challenging phases of this endeavor was immeasurable. Your belief in her abilities constantly inspired her to strive for excellence. She extends her heartfelt appreciation for your patience, understanding, and sacrifices, especially during the long hours and numerous revisions required to bring this thesis to fruition. This thesis stands as a testament to your partnership, dedication, and synergy. Your partnership has not only enriched the academic aspect but also brought immense joy and fulfillment to this scholarly pursuit. Thank you for being an exceptional partner and for sharing this intellectual journey with her.

A special mention to the Central Luzon State University for providing the necessary resources and fostering an environment conducive to intellectual growth.

Lastly, her appreciation extends to all those who may not be mentioned here but have played a role, no matter how small, in shaping this thesis.

This achievement is not only hers but a testament to the collective support of an incredible network of individuals, especially her family, to whom she owe an immeasurable debt of gratitude.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Title Page	i
ACCEPTANCE SHEET	ii
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF EQUATIONS	xv
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLE	xvi
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
ABSTRACT	xix
INTRODUCTION	1
Background of the study	1
Statement of the Problem	2
Objectives of the Study	4
Significance of the Study	4
Scope and Limitation of the Study	5
Time and Place of the Study	5
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	6
Soybean Production	6
Weed Management	7
Weed Control Critical Period	9
Soil Parameters	12
Mechanical Weeder	12

Crop Management	15
Tiller Blades	15
Cultivator	16
Machine Design	17
Guide wheels of a power weeder	17
Handle of a power weeder	18
Engine of a power weeder	19
Transmission System (Worm Gear Rotor Shaft)	20
Weeding Blades	21
Main frame of a power weeder	23
Force required to drive the weeder	23
Fabrication Process	24
Cutting	24
Folding	24
Forming	25
Machining	25
Punching	25
Welding	26
Cost Analysis	26
Methods of Test	27
METHODOLOGY	28
Conceptual Framework of the Study	28
Process Flowchart of Machine's Development	29
Design Considerations and Criteria	30
Design of the Single-Row Weeder-Cultivator Machine	30
Fabrication Process	31
Principle of Operation	32
Design Calculations and Main Components of the Proposed Machine	33
Frame and handle of the single-row weeder-cultivator	33
Weeding blades and cover of the single-row weeder-cultivator	34
Guide wheels of the single-row weeder-cultivator	36
Engine of the single-row weeder-cultivator	36
Transmission System (Worm Gear and Rotor Shaft)	39
Bearing	40

Preliminary Testing	40
Establishing of Operating Speed	40
Establishing of Operational Pattern	41
Determining of Operating Speed	41
Performance Testing and Evaluation	42
Instruments and Materials	42
Evaluation of Performance Parameters	42
Total Operating Time	43
Fuel Consumption rate	43
Effective Fuel Consumption Rate	44
Weeding Efficiency	44
Percent Plant Damaged	44
Field Capacity	45
Statistical Layout	45
Statistical Analysis	47
RESULT AND DISCUSSION	48
Machine Description	48
Performance Evaluation	52
Weeding Efficiency	52
Percent Plant Damage	55
Fuel Consumption	57
Cost Analysis of Using the Machine	59
Assumptions in operating the single-row weeder-cultivator	61
Cost Benefit Analysis (Manual Labor vs. Machine)	63
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	64
Summary	64
Conclusion	65
Recommendation	67

LITERATURE CITED	68
APPENDICES	74
User-manual of the single-row weeder-cultivator	78

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Specifications of the selected engine	38
2	Preliminary testing observations	41
3	Equipment and materials for performance evaluation	42
4	Descriptive statistics of the weeding efficiency, %	53
5	Pairwise mean comparison of treatment using LSD test for weeding efficiency, %	53
6	Comparison among treatment means for weeding efficiency, %	54
7	Descriptive statistics of the percent plant damage, %	55
8	Pairwise mean comparison of treatment using LSD test for percent plant damage, %	55
9	Comparison among treatment means for percent plant damage, %	56
10	Descriptive statistics of the fuel consumption, li/hr	57
11	Pairwise mean comparison of treatment using LSD test for fuel consumption, li/hr	58
12	Comparison among treatment means for fuel consumption, li/hr	59
13	Summary of the result of cost analysis	62

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Guide wheel	18
2	Plow style handle	18
3	Two stroke petrol engine	19
3	Worm gear box fixed with rotor shaft	20
5	Assembly of rotor disc with blade	21
6	Cutting blade	22
7	Main frame of a power weeder	23
8	Conceptual framework of the study	28
9	Process flow chart of the machine development	29
10	Single-row weeder-cultivator machine	30
11	Frame and handle of the single-row weeder-cultivator	33
12	Front view of the designed weeding blade	34
13	Side view of the designed weeding blade	35
14	Guide wheels of single-row weeder-cultivator	36
15	Engine of single-row weeder-cultivator	38
16	Worm gear and rotor shaft of the single-row weeder-cultivator	39
17	CRD statistical layout	46
18	Side view of the first fabrication of the single-row weeder-cultivator for soybean production	49
19	Side view of the modification of the fabricated single-row weeder-cultivator for soybean production	49

20	Front view of the first fabrication of the fabricated single-row weeder-cultivator for soybean production	50
21	Front view of the modification of the fabricated the single-row Weeder-cultivator for soybean production	51
22	Cost curve of using the single-row weeder for soybean	60

LIST OF EQUATIONS

EQUATION		PAGE
1	Power required by the weeder to perform weeding operation	19
2	Force required to drive the weeder	23
3	Number of flanges to support the cutting blades	35
4	Power Requirement to dig the soil	37
5	Total power requirement for the weeder	38
6	Equation for fuel consumption rate	43
7	Equation for effective fuel consumption rate	44
8	Equation for weeding efficiency	45
9	Equation for percent damaged plants	45
10	Equation for field capacity	45
11	Cost of using the machine	59

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Raw data of the fuel consumption per trial of each treatment	74
2	Raw data for the weeding efficiency per trial of each treatment	74
3	Raw data of the plant damage per trial of each treatment	75
4	ANOVA for the weeding efficiency, %	75
5	ANOVA for the percent plant damage, %	75
6	ANOVA for the fuel consumption, li/hr	76
7	Bill of materials	76
8	Summary of formula used for cost analysis	77

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Cutting of metal sheet	79
2	Cutting of pipes	79
3	Painting of the machine	80
4	Mounting of the guide wheels	80
5	Welding of pipes for the frame assembly	81
6	Connecting of wires and throttle to the engine	81
7	Integration of worm gear and rotor shaft to the engine	82
8	Assembling of the frame of the engine	82
9	Measuring the testing area	83
10	Mixing the gasoline and oil	83
11	Testing of the machine	84
12	Counting of weeds per sample ares	84
13	Sample of damaged plants	85
14	Measuring of soil moisture	85

LIST OF APPENDICES

FIGURE		PAGE
A	Appendix Tables	74
B	Appendix Figures	79

ABSTRACT

Duque, Lynell G., and Pulintan, Louse Nicole V., Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, College of Engineering, Central Luzon State University, Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines, **February 2024, DEVELOPMENT OF SINGLE-ROW WEEDER-CULTIVATOR FOR SOYBEAN PRODUCTION.**

Adviser: ENGR. JOHN VINCENT NATE, M.Sc.

The demand for effective weed control mechanisms in soybean production has prompted the development of single-row weeder-cultivator to cater the needs of the production of the soybean. This study outlined the iterative process of designing, fabricating, testing, and evaluating, and cost analyzing a single-row weeder-cultivator tailored for optimizing weed management in soybean fields. The objectives encompassed the design and fabrication of a precision single-row weeder-cultivator capable of addressing weed proliferation within soybean rows. Experimental evaluations demonstrated promising outcomes. Weeding efficiency observations revealed a progressive trend: 90.85% at 1kph, 84.12% at 1.5kph, and 79.74% at 2kph. Statistical analysis confirmed significant differences among all treatments, advocating slower speeds of 1kph or 1.5kph to maximize weeding efficiency. Conversely, higher speeds correlated with decreased weeding efficacy, potentially compromising crop health. Simultaneously, percent plant damage assessments depicted values of 1.83% (1kph), 3.71% (1.5kph), and 7.47% (2kph). While 1kph and 1.5kph exhibited statistical equivalence in percent plant damage, significant disparities were noted when compared to the 2kph speed. This underscores the recommendation to operate the weeder-cultivator at slower speeds to minimize plant damage, aligning with acceptable thresholds. Fuel consumption findings revealed consumption rates of 1.13 li/hr (1kph),

consumption rates of 1.13 li/hr (1kph), 1.08 li/hr (1.5kph), and 0.91 li/hr (2kph). Statistical comparisons indicated no significant difference between 1kph and 1.5kph speeds but significant divergence when compared to the 2kph speed. This unexpected trend highlights the need for cautious consideration of operational speeds concerning fuel efficiency. Furthermore, the study delved into the economic viability of the single-row weeder-cultivator. Cost analysis revealed an investment outlay of Php 15,126.00, with operational costs calculated at Php 3,296.17/ha. An intriguing prospect emerged when considering the weeder's potential as a rental service, indicating a feasible payback period of 0.43 years or 48 working days, assuming 110 days/year operation. To maximize returns, collaborative rental schemes involving 15 one-hectare farms within a cropping cycle were proposed. The implications of this study underscore the substantial benefits the proposed weeder-cultivator offers to the soybean production industry. Its capacity to curtail labor costs, reduce labor intensity, and potentially augment soybean population underscores its potential significance.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abdelmotaleb, I. A., Imara, Z. M., Hegazy, R. A., & Okasha, M. H. (2014). Development and Evaluation of Small-Scale Power Weeder. *Misr Journal of Agricultural Engineering*, 31(3), 703–728. <https://doi.org/10.54536/ajise.v2i1.1147>
- Abbasian, A., Esmaili, M.A., Keramati, S., Pirdashti, H., & Habibi, M. (2008). The Critical Period of Weed Control in Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) in North of Iran Conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 11: 463-467, doi:<https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2008.463.467>
- Agcopra, J.D.V., & Piadozo, M.E.S. (2018). Cost and price competitiveness of soybean production in Isabela, Philippines. *Journal of Economics, Management & Agricultural Development*, 4(1), 77-91.
- Ajayaram, B., Choudary, R. B., Choudary, G. N., & Sekhar, V. C. (2020). Fabrication and field evaluation of a wheel weeder . *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1070/1/012134>
- Ambrose, L. G. & Coble, H. D. (1975). Fall panicum competition in soybeans. *Proc. South. Weed Sci. Soc.* 28:36.
- Blackshaw, R.E., Larney, F.J., Lindwall, C.W., Watson, P.R., Derksen, D.A., 2001. Tillage intensity and crop rotation affect weed community dynamics in a winter wheat cropping system. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 81, 805–813.
- Bombe, D. D., Gadakh, M. A., Jain, S. H., & Pathan, S. L. (2018). Design and Fabrication of Power Weeder. 6th International Conference on Recent Trends in Engineering & Technology.
- Bowman, G. (1997). *Steel in the field: a farmer's guide to weed management tools*. Sustainable Agriculture Network handbook series no. 2, Beltsville, Maryland, USA
- Burnside, O.C., Somerhalder, B.R., Warnes, D.D., Weeks, S.A., & Wicks, G.A., (1969) Effect of weeds on harvesting efficiency in corn, sorghum, and soybeans. *Weed Science*, 17 (4), 38-441. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043174500054473>
- Burnside, O.C., (1979). Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) Merr.] growth as affected by weed removal, cultivar and row spacing. *Weed Sci.*, 27, 562-565. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043174500044611>

- Burke, I., Everman, W., Davis, V., Dille, J., Sikkema, P., Soltani, N., & VanGessel, M. (2017). Perspectives on Potential Soybean Yield Losses from Weeds in North America. *Weed Technology*, 31(1), 148-154. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2016.2>
- Chertkiattipol, S. & Niyamapa, T. (2010). Development of blades for rotary tiller for use in Thai soils. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*, Issue 3&4, 1336- 1344. 10.1234/4.2010.3681.
- Chhokar, R.S. & Balyan,R.S. (1999). Competition and control of weeds in soybean, *Weed Science*, 47, 107-111.
- Cloutier, D.C., Leblanc, M.L., Peruzzi, A. & Van Der Weide (2007). *Mechanical Weed Management*
- Coble, H.D., F.M. Williams and R.L. Ritter, 1981. Common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) interference in soybeans (*Glycine max*). *Weed Sci.*, 29: 339-342.
- Costa, R. (2022). A pricing system for machine tools offered as result-oriented Product Service System. 29th CIRP Life Cycle Engineering Conference, 105, 625.
- Cussans, G.W., S. Raudonius, P. Brain, and S. Cumberworth. 1996. Effects of depth of seed burial and soil aggregate size on seedling emergence of *Alopecurus myosuroides*, *Galium aparine*, *Stellaria media* and wheat. *Weed Research* 36: 133–141.
- De Lima, D. S. (2010). Building Sustainable Soybean Industry in the Philippines. Powerpoint Presentation. Bureau of Agricultural Research, Quezon City.
- Digambar, G.A., Rangaril, A.S., Sahare, V.R., Ingole, N.V., Shambharkar, B.A., Chandrashekhar J.S. (2021). Fabrication Of Power Weeder. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*.
- Dieleman J, Mortensen D.A., Buhler D.D., & Ferguson R.B. (2001). Identifying associations among site properties and weed species abundance. II. Hypothesis generation. *Weed Science*. 48(5), 576-587. doi:10.1614/0043-1745(2000)048[0576:IAASPA]2.0.CO;2
- Evans, S.P., Knezevic, S.Z. & Mainz, M. (2003). Yield penalty due to delayed weed control in corn and soybean. *Crop Manage.*
- FAOSTAT. 2016. Crops Data. Available at <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>.

- Grewal, P.S., Saimbhi, V.S., & Wadhwa, D.S. (2004). Development of a rotary tiller blade using three-dimensional computer graphics," *Biosystems Engineering*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 47–58.
- Goldammer, T. 2017. *Organic Crop Production: Management Techniques for Organic Farming*, 381. Apex Publishers, USA.
- Goldsmith,, P.D. & Masuda T. (2009). World Soybean Production: Area Harvested, Yield, and Long-Term Projections. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 12(4), 1-20. doi:<https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.9257>
- Halford, C., Hamill, A.S., Zhang J. & Doucet, V. (2001). Critical period of weed control in no-till soybean (*Glycine max*) and corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technol.*, 15, 737-744.
- Harrison, S.K. (1990). Interference and seed production by common Lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*) in soybeans (*Glycine max*). *Weed Sci.*, 38, 113-118.
- Hazra, D., Das, T.K .& Yaduraju, N.T. (2011). Interference and economic threshold of horse purslane (*Trianthema portulacastrum*) in soybean cultivation in northern India. *Weed Biol. Manag.* 11, 72–82.
- He J, Li H, Chen H, Lu C, Wang Q (2018) Research progress of conservation tillage technology and machine. *Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery* 49(04): 1-19. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.6041/j.issn.1000-1298.2018.04.001>
- Hegazy.R. A. , I. A. Abdelmotalieb, Z. M. Imara, M. H. O., 2014. Development and evaluation of small-scale power weeder. *Misr J. Ag. Eng*, 31(3): 703–728.
- Hossen, M.A., Islam,S., Paul, H., Rahman, M.A. & Rahman, M.M.(2020). Ergonomic study of BRRRI multi-row power weeder for rice cultivation. *Journal of Science,Technology & Environment Informatics*, 10(1), 685-693, doi:<https://doi.org/10.18801/jstei.100120.69630.10.1016/j.procir.2022.02.104>
- Ishaya, D.B., Dadari, S.A. & Shebayan, J.A.Y. (2007). Evaluation of herbicides for weed control in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) in Nigeria. *Crop Protection Journal*, 26, 1697-1701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2007.02.013>
- Kassam, A., Friedrich, T. & Shaxson, F., Pretty, Jules. (2009). The Spread of Conservation Agriculture: Justification, Sustainability and Uptake. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*. 7. 292-320. [10.3763/ijas.2009.0477](https://doi.org/10.3763/ijas.2009.0477).

- Kumar, V., Bellinder, R.R., Gupta, R.K., Malik, R.K. & Brainard, D.C. (2008). Role of herbicide-resistant rice in promoting resource conservation technologies in rice-wheat cropping systems of India: A review, *Crop Protection*, 27 (3-5), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2007.05.016>.
- Knezevic, S.Z., Weise S.F. & Swanton, C.J. (1994). Interference of red root pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus* L.) in corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Weed Sci.*, 42: 568-573.
- Heblikar, V.K., Kumar, S.M. & Rajashekar, M. (2014). Simulation And Analysis Of Low Cost Weeder. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*.
- Iquebal, M.A., Kumar, D., Pavuluri, K., Sepat, S., Sharma, A.R., Thierfelder, C., & Verma, A. (2017). Effects of weed control strategy on weed dynamics, soybean productivity and profitability under conservation agriculture in India. *Field Crops Research*, 210, 61-70. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.05.017>
- Keys, L. & Shibiao, C. (2009). A cost analysis model for heavy equipment. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*. 56. 1276-1288. 10.1016/j.cie.2008.07.015.
- Kumar, P., Kumar, S., Kumar, V., & Mouriya, A.K. (2018). Performance Evaluation of Weeders. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, 7(3), 1059-1065. <https://www.ijset.net/journal/2143.pdf>
- Hoque, M.A., Hossain, A., & Saha, K.K. (2021). Development and Performance Evaluation of a Two-Wheeled Power-Tiller Multi-row Weeder. *J. Biosyst. Eng.* 46, 36-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42853-021-00086-x>
- Manuwa, S. I., Odubanjo, O. O., Malumi, B. O., Olofinkua, S. G. (2009). Development and performance evaluation of a row-crop mechanical weeder. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 4(4), 236-239.
- Meena, S.S. & Srinivas, J. (2020). Design and Development of Walking Type Multi-Crop Power Weeder. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 47(1), 266-271.
- Mohler, C.L., J.C. Frisch and J. Mt. Pleasant. 1997. Evaluation of mechanical weed management programs for corn (*Zea mays*). *Weed Technology* 11: 123-131.
- Mhlanga, B., Cheesman, S., Chauhan, B.S., & Thierfelder, C. (2016). Weed emergence as affected by maize (*Zea mays* L.)-cover crop rotations in contrasting arable soils of

- Zimbabwe under conservation agriculture, *Crop Protection*, 81, 47-56, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.12.007>
- Megha, P., Pravitha, P.N., Rithuvarnya, N.K., & Suraj K.S. (2022). Design and Development of a Self-Propelled Three Row Vertical Axis Rotary Power Weeder
- Oerke, EC (2006) Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144 (31), 43. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859605005708>
- Oguntunde, P.G. & Olukunle, J. (2006). Design of a Row Crop Weeder. Conference on International Agricultural Research for Development.
- Olaniyan, A. M. & Woyessa, D.(2023.). Design and Development of an Engine-Operated Weeding Machine for Wheat Farm. *American Journal of Innovation in Science and Engineering (AJISE)*, 2(1), 93–105. <https://doi.org/10.54536/ajise.v2i1.1147> <https://journals.epalli.com/home/index.php/ajise>
- PAES, " Agricultural Machinery: Field Cultivator - Specifications and Methods of Test", 2010
- PAES, " Agricultural Machinery: Weeder - Specifications and Methods of Test", 2004
- Padole, Y.B. (2007). Padole (2007) evaluated the efficiency of the bullock drawn blade hoe and the rotary power weeder. 31 (3 & 4), 30-33.
- Philippine Council for Agriculture, Forestry, and Natural Resources Research and Development (PCARRD). (2009). *Agricultural Mechanization in the Philippines* (pp. 1-8). PCARRD.
- Qi. L, Zhao L, Ma X, Cui H, Zheng W, Lu Y (2017) Design and test of 3GY-1920 wide-swath type weeding-cultivating machine for paddy. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 33(8), 47-55. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.11975/j.issn.1002-6819.2017.08.006>
- Quadri AW (2010). Design, construction and testing of manually operated weeder. Department of Agricultural Engineering College of Engineering, University of Agriculture Abeokuta.
- Rana, M.C. & Rana, S.S. (2019). *Principles and Practices of Weed Management - Third Edition*. Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, 166 pages.

- Saleh, A., & Suleiman, M.L.(2021). Design, Construct and Evaluation of a Single Row Hand-Pushed Mechanical Weed Control Machine. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 25(3), 401-406. doi:<https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v25i3.14>
- Singh, M., Saxena, M.C., Abu-Irmailehm, B.E., Al-Thahbi S.A., & Hadda N.I., (1996). Estimation of critical period of weed control. *Weed Sci.*, 44, 273-283.
- Schonbeck, M. (2011). Principles of Sustainable Weed Management in Organic Cropping Systems. 3rd Edition, Workshop for Farmers and Agricultural Professionals on Sustainable Weed Management. <https://projects.sare.org/wp-content/uploads/1004299SARE-PDP-weeds20.pdf>
- Swanton, C.J. & Weise, S.F. (1991). Integrated weed management: The rationale and approach. *Weed Technol.*, 5, 657-663.
- Tian L, Cao C, Qin K, Fang L, Ge J (2021) Design and test of post-seat weeding machine for paddy. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering* 14(3):112-122. DOI: 10.25165/j.ijabe.20211403.5936
- Van Acker, R.C., C.J. Swanton and Weise, S.F. (1993). The critical period of weed control in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.]. *Weed Sci.*, 41: 194-200.
- Van Der Weide, R.Y., Bleeker, P.O., Achten, V.T.J.M., Lotz, L.A.P. ,Fogelberg, F., & Melander, B., (2008). Innovation in mechanical weed control in crop rows. *Weed Research* 48(3), 215–224.
- Zimdahl, R.L. (1988). *The Concept and Application of the Critical Weed-Free Period.* CRC Press Inc., Florida, USA., ISBN: 08-493-68162, 145-155.