

PHYSIO-MORPHOLOGICAL RESPONSES OF CORN (ZEA MAYS L.)
/ TO CANOPY MODIFICATIONS, PLANT DENSITIES
AND LEVELS OF NITROGEN

KRISHNA ADHIKARI
//

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES AT LOS BAÑOS
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE
(Agronomy)

November, 1987

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

The author was born on December 28, 1949 at Lapsibot, Gorkha, Nepal. He is the only son born to Dandapani Adhikari and Tulasi Maya Adhikari.

He completed his primary education at Gyan Jyoti Primary School at Lapsibot in 1964 and School Leaving Certificate (S.L.C.) examination from Amar Jyoti Janata High School, Luetel, Gorkha, Nepal in 1968.

He graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in Agriculture at Allahabad University, Allahabad UP India in 1974 with a major in Plant Protection under the United Mission to Nepal Scholarship Program.

After graduation, he joined the Boys Boarding School, Lamachaur, Pokhara as a vocational school teacher in June 1974 and worked for one year. Thereafter he joined the Department of Agriculture, His Majesty's Government (HMG), Nepal as Assistant Plant Pathologist and worked on maize research at National Maize Development Programme, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal. In May 1977, he passed Nepal Public Service Commission Examination and reappointed on National Maize Development Program as an Assistant Production Agronomist and holds this position to date.

In the year 1979 November he was awarded IADS/HMG, Nepal six month training fellowship in Corn Production at the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) Mexico.

While being employed at Rampur, Chitwan, HMG, Nepal, he was awarded the faculty privilege to pursue a Master of Science (Agronomy) degree in the University of the Philippines at Los Baños under the German Foundation for International Development (DSE).

The author is a member of Nepal Agricultural Association and the Crop Science Society of the Philippines. He has four scientific papers published in Nepal Summer Crops Workshop Proceedings.

He is happily married to Uma Devi with whom they are blessed with two sons, Bishnu and Raju and a daughter, Laxchima.

KRISHNA ADHIKARI

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude and appreciation to his adviser and chairman of the Advisory Committee, Dr. Manuel M. Lantin, Associate Professor of Agronomy, University of the Philippines at Los Baños (UPLB) and Assistant Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Republic of the Philippines for his continuous encouragement, guidance in planning this research work, preparing the manuscript and above all for his keen interest and deep concern throughout the study inspite of his busy schedule of activities.

Members of his Guidance Committee, Dr. Oscar B. Zamora, Chairman of Department of Agronomy and Dr. Priscilla A. Juliano, Associate Professor of Community Development, Department of Agricultural Education and Rural Studies, University of the Philippines at Los Baños (UPLB), have always provided valuable suggestions and constructive criticisms and comments throughout the study period and in the preparation of the manuscript. To them, he expresses sincere thanks.

The author also wishes to express his gratitude to the Director Generals of the Department of Agriculture, His Majesty's Government of Nepal and German Foundation for International Development (DSE) for nominating and awarding him a fellowship to pursue his M.S. degree at UPLB.

He also wishes to thank Dr. dela Cruze, forest microbiologist, and his co-workers, College of Forestry, UPLB, for providing him with oven facilities. Thanks are due to Mr. Fred, Mr. Pit, Mr. Boning of Corn Section, Department of Agronomy, UPLB, for their help and valuable suggestions during the conduct of this study.

The author also wishes to make special mention of his friends: Chandra Kant Devkota, Dhungana Krishna, Jagdish Timlsena, Harihar Sigdel, Edi Banbang, Amshril, Oscar Jaballa, Ed Ramos for their intellectual association, understanding, help and suggestions.

He owes everything to his parents who never went to school but were always anxious to send their only son for higher education. Special appreciation to my only sister for her well wishes in pursuing his professional advancement.

Finally, no degree of appreciation to his beloved wife Uma, his sons and daughter will suffice for their understanding, sacrifices, patience and moral support during the period when he was long away from them both in time and distance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
Canopy Modification	6
Detasseling	6
Removal of Parts of Foliage	7
Nitrogen Levels	8
Effect of Population Density	10
Density x Nitrogen Interaction	11
Source and Sink Limitations	12
Environmental Factors and Agronomic Practices Affecting Physiological Growth Parameters	14
MATERIALS AND METHODS	16
Place and Time of Study	16
Maize Variety	16
Treatments and Experimental Design	17
Plant Culture	18
Land Preparation and Planting	18
Cultural Operations	19
Pest Management	19
Data Collected	20
A. Morphological Traits	20
B. Agronomic Traits, Yield and Yield Components	20
C. Growth Analysis Parameters	22
D. Physiological Traits	23
E. Fodder Evaluation to Ruminants	24
Cost-Benefit Analysis	25
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	27
Yield and Yield Components	27
Grain Yield	27
Yield Components	36
Agronomic and Morphological Traits	45
Growth Analysis Parameters	48
Physiological Traits	57

	<u>Page</u>
Fodder Yield Evaluation	65
Fresh Fodder Yield	65
Nutritive Value Analysis	70
Cost-Benefit Analysis	72
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	76
LITERATURE CITED	81
APPENDICES	86

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Yield and yield components of maize as affected by planting densities levels of N and canopy modifications.	28
2	Grain yield (tons ha ⁻¹ , at 15% grain moisture) at different planting densities and levels of nitrogen	30
3	Grain yield (tons ha ⁻¹ at 15% grain moisture) at different canopy modifications and planting densities	32
4	Grain yield (tons ha ⁻¹ at 15% grain moisture) at different nitrogen levels and canopy modifications	34
5	Number of kernel per ear at different planting densities and nitrogen level	38
6	Number of kernel per ear at varying planting densities and canopy modifications	39
7	Number of kernel per ear at varying level of nitrogen and canopy modifications.	39
8	Grain moisture content at harvest at different planting densities and canopy modifications	44
9	Comparison of agronomic and morphological traits as influenced by planting densities, nitrogen fertilization and canopy modifications	46
10	Growth analysis parameters of corn as influenced by planting densities and levels of nitrogen	49
11	The response of physiological traits to three level of planting density, nitrogen and levels of canopy modification	58
12	The grain filling rate (kg ha ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) at different planting density and nitrogen levels averaged over all period.	59

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
13	The grain filling rate at varying level of nitrogen and canopy modification practices averaged over all period	59
14	The grain filling rate ($\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) at different planting density and canopy modifications averaged over all the period	62
15	Detached fresh fodder yield, dry matter, percent crude protein and percent <u>in-vitro</u> dry matter digestibility under varying levels of canopy modifications, nitrogen and planting density	66
16	Detached fresh fodder yield from canopy modifications at varying levels of planting density	68
17	Detached fresh fodder yield from canopy modifications at varying nitrogen levels	68
18	Percent crude protein of fodder produced by different canopy modifications at various levels of nitrogen	72
19	Gross income, variable costs and net income for corn grown at different levels of canopy modification, nitrogen and planting density	74

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Response of grain yield to varying plant density and nitrogen levels	31
2	Response of grain yield to canopy modification treatments at varying planting density levels	33
3	Response of grain yield to canopy modification treatments and varying nitrogen levels	35
4	Response of kernels number per ear to canopy modification treatments at varying plant density levels	40
5	Response of kernel number per ear to canopy modification treatments at varying nitrogen levels	41
6	Response of percent barren plants to canopy modification treatments at varying planting densities	43
7	Changes in leaf area index with time at different canopy modifications. Arrows indicate application of canopy modification treatments	50
8	Change in crop growth rate with time at different canopy modifications. Arrows indicate application of canopy modifications treatments	50
9	Changes in leaf area index to various planting density at varying nitrogen levels	52
10	Response of crop growth rate to varying planting density and nitrogen levels	55
11	Relationship between net assimilation rate and leaf area index on varying levels of nitrogen	56
12	Relationship between grain filling rate and nitrogen fertilization at different planting densities	60

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
13	Response of harvest index at varying nitrogen levels	64
14	Response of fresh fodder yield at varying nitrogen levels	67
15	Response of fresh fodder yield at varying planting density	67
16	Changes in fresh fodder yield for each canopy modification practices on each nitrogen levels	69
17	Response of crude protein content at varying nitrogen levels	71

ABSTRACT

ADHIKARI, KRISHNA. University of the Philippines at Los Baños, November 1987. Physio-Morphological Responses of Corn (*Zea mays* L.) to Canopy Modifications, Plant Densities and Levels of Nitrogen.
Major Professor: Dr. Manuel M. Lantin

A field experiment was conducted to determine the effects of canopy modification treatments at varying plant density and nitrogen fertilization on grain yield and other physio-morphological traits of a corn variety, IPB Var 1, at Central Experiment Station, University of the Philippines at Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines during the 1987 dry season (January to May). The primary purpose of the study was to examine specific effects of each canopy modification treatment on agronomic, morpho-physiological and fresh fodder production potential at three planting densities and levels of nitrogen fertilization. Plant densities used were 53,333, 66,666 and 80,000 plants ha^{-1} at a fixed 75 cm row width and at nitrogen levels of 45, 67.5 and 90 kg N ha^{-1} . The experimental design used was split-split plot with three replications where plant population densities, nitrogen levels and canopy modifications were main plot, sub-plots and sub-subplots, respectively.

Negative linear responses were observed in yield and yield components to increasing planting densities while the responses to increasing nitrogen fertilization was positive. High nitrogen

application increased source and sink capacities, enhanced grain filling rate, and resulted in higher harvest index and higher grain yield. Variations in grain yield came from changes in 1000-kernel weight, kernel number per ear, number of unfilled ears, shelling percentage and percent barren plants count and final grain weight.

Differences among canopy modification treatments on grain yield was associated more with increasing levels of nitrogen and degree of defoliation among the treatment. Detasseling before pollen shed at the ratio of 2:1 corn plant rows increased LAI, CGR and NAR and finally yield and yield components at all planting densities and levels of nitrogen while detopping caused a decrease on all these traits. Removal of green leaves below the ear at 10 days from 50% silking resulted higher grain yield at lower planting density with higher nitrogen. It did not reduce grain yield at all planting densities and levels of nitrogen.

Cost-benefits analysis of thirty six treatments studied, revealed that the highest net income was obtained from detasseling. Tassels had higher crude protein content than the defoliated below ear leaves and detoped tops for fodder. Detasseling therefore, appears to be a sound practice for an integrated corn-livestock farming systems. Detopping yielded the highest fresh fodder but returns from the tops sold for fodder was not enough to compensate the large negative effects of detopping on grain yield.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALLISON, J.C.S. 1969. Effect of plant population on the production and distribution of dry matter in maize. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 63:135-144.
- ALCOS, S.O. 1973. Effects of plant density fertilizer and stages of maturity on the yield and other agronomic characters of sweet and glutinous corn. (Unpublished M.S. thesis, UPLB, College, Laguna), 134 pp.
- ANDERSON, E.L., E.J. KAMPRATH and R.H. MOLL. 1984. Nitrogen fertility effects on accumulation, remobilization and partitioning of N and dry matter in corn genotypes differing in prolificacy. *Agron. J.* 76(3): 397-404.
- ANDREW, R.H. 1977. Influence of season population and spacing on auxillary bud development of sweet corn. *Hort. Sci.* 12: 355-358.
- ANWARHAN, H. 1977. Effects of population density and N-fertilizer application on the growth of corn and soybean planted as monoculture and intercrop. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, UPLB, College, Laguna. pp. 257.
- BANTA, G. 1972. Economic evaluation of multiple cropping systems. *IRRI Seminar.*
- BIDWELL, R.G.S. 1979. *Plant Physiology.* 2nd ed. McMillan Publ. Co., N.Y.
- BORGESON, W.C. 1943. Method of detasseling and yield of hybrid seed corn. *Amer. Soc. Agron.* 35: 99-922.
- BUREN, I.L., J.J. MOCK and I.C. ANDERSON. 1974. Morphological and physiological traits in maize associated with tolerance to high plant density. *Crop Sci.* 18, pp. 93-98.
- BITTERU, B.R. 1970. Effects of variation in leaf area index on growth of maize and soybean. *Crop Sci.* 10:9-13.
- BUTTERY, B.R. and R.I. RUZZEL. 1972. Some differences between soybean cultivars observed by growth analysis. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 52: 13-20.
- CARTER, M.W. and C.G. PONELEIT. 1973. Black layer maturity and grain filling variation among inbred lines of corn. *Crop Sci.* 13: 436-439.

- CHINWUBA, P.M., C.O. CROGAN and M.S. ZUBER. 1961. Interaction of detasseling sterility and spacing on yields of maize hybrids. *Crop Sci.* 1: 279-280.
- CLAASEN, M.M. and R.H. SHAW. 1970. Water deficit effect on corn. II Grain components. *Agronomy Journal* 62, pp. 652-655.
- DONALD, C.M. 1962. In search of yield. *5 Aust. Inst. of Agro Sci.* 28: 171-178.
- DUNCAN, W.G., W.A. WILLIAMS and R.S. LOOMIS. 1967. Tassels and productivity of maize. *Crop Sci.* 7: 37-39.
- DUVICK, D.N. 1977. Genetic rates of grain in hybrid maize yields during the past 40 years. *Maydica* 22: 187-196.
- EAGLES, D.F. 1971. Changes in net assimilation rate and leaf area ratio with time in *Daetylis glomerata* L. *Ann. Bot. (N.S.)* 35: 63-74.
- EGHAREVBA, P.N., R.D. HORROCKS and M.S. ZUBER. 1976. Dry matter accumulation in maize in response to defoliation. *Agronomy Journal* 68: 40-43.
- EVANS, L.T. and G.D. SORGER. 1966. *Ann. Rev. Plt. Physiol.* 7:47.
- EVANS, L.T. 1975. The physiological basis of crop yield. In *crop physiological: some case histories*. L.T. Evans (ed.). Cambridge University Press, pp. 327-355.
- FISHER, K.S. and A.F.E. PALMER. 1983. Maize. In the potential productivity of field crops under different environment. IRRI, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.
- FREYMAN, S.M., G.C. KALDY, KOZUB, S. DUBETZ and W.T. ANDREW. 1972. Spacing and fertilizer studies on sweet corn under irrigation in Southern Alberta. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 52: 281-286.
- FUROC, R.E. 1978. Integration of fodder production with a corn based-cropping system. M.S. Thesis, UPLB, pp. 10-12.
- GOLDSWORTHY, P.R. 1974a. Adaptation in maize. In *CIMMYT Proc. Worldwide maize improvement in the 70's and the role for CIMMYT, Mexico*.
- GOMEZ, K.A. and A.A. GOMEZ. 1984. *Statistical procedures for agriculture research*. Second edition Publ. IRRI, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.

- * HANWAY, J.J. 1962. Corn growth and composition in relation to soil fertility. Growth of different plant parts and relationship of both, leaf weight and grain yield. *Agron. J.* 54: 144-148.
- HATCH, M.D. and L.R. SLACK. 1970. Photosynthetic CO₂ fixation pathways. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 21: 141-162.
- * HICKS, D.R., W.W. NELSON and J.H. FORD. 1977. Defoliation effects on corn hybrids adapted to the northern corn belt. *Agron.* 5(69): 387-390.
- * HUNTER, R.B. 1980. Increased leaf area (source) and yield of maize in short season area. *Crop Sci.* 20-571-574.
- * _____, T.B. DAYNARD, D.J. HUME, T.W. TANNER, J.D. CURTIS and L.W. KANNENBERG. 1969. Effect of tassel removal on grain yield of corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Crop Sci.* 9: 405-406.
- * _____, C.G. MORTIMORE and L.W. KANNENBERG. 1973. Inbred maize performance following tassel and leaf removal. *Agron.* 5(65): 471-472.
- JESADA, N. 1986. Morpho-physiological traits of four maize genotypes as influenced by plant density and nitrogen fertilization. Unpublished M.S. thesis, UPLB, College, Laguna, Philippines.
- * JOHNSON, R. RR. 1978. Growth and yield of maize as affected early season defoliation. *Agron. J.* 70(6): 995-1011.
- JOHNSON, D.R. and J.W. TANNER. 1972. Calculation of rate and duration of grain filling in corn (*Zea mays* L.) *Crop Sci.* 12: 485-486.
- * JONES, R.J. and S.R. SIMMONS. 1983. Effect of altered source sink ratio on growth of maize kernels. *Crop Sci.* 23: 129-134.
- * JURGENS, S.K., R.R. JOHNSON and J.S. BOYER. 1978. Dry matter production and translocation in maize subjected to drought during rain filling. *Agronomy J.* 70: 678-682.
- * KRANTZ, B.A. and W.V. CHANDLER. 1954. Fertilize corn for higher yield. *North Carolina Agric. Exp. Stan. Bull.* 366.
- KRISHNAMORTHY, CH. 1983. Low input cropping systems. In the potential productivity of field crops under different environment. IRRI, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.
- KUSBA, J.B. 1956. Dryland crop rotation and tillage experiments (at the Colby, Kan) Branch Experiment Station. U.S. Dept. of Agric. Curricular 1979, pp. 87.

- LARSON, E.W. and J.J. HANWAY. 1967. Corn production. In G.F. Sprange ed. Corn and corn improvement. Am. Soc. of Agron. Inc.
- RADFORD, P.J. 1967. Growth analysis formulae. Their use and abuse. *Crop Sci.* 7: 171-175.
- RUSEL, W.A. 1974. Comparative performance for maize hybrids representing different areas of maize breeding. 29th Ann. Corn Sorghum Res. Conf. 29: 81-101.
- RAZZAQUE, MD. A. 1987. Evaluation of some agronomic schemes for an integrated crop-livestock production. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, UPLB, College, Laguna, Philippines.
- SINCLAIR, T.R. 1984. Leaf area development in field grown soybeans. *Agron. J.* 76: 141-146.
- SINGH, A.N. 1967. Effect of variation in plant density and soil fertility on yield of two varieties of maize *Indian J. Agron.* 12: 314-319.
- SINGH, V.B. 1964. Fertilizer requirements for maize in Rajasthan III. Contribution and differential response of growth attributes on yield of maize. *Indian Agr.* 8(1): 47-54.
- SHAN, M.E. et al. 1970. Response of size released composites as recommended hybrid and a local variety to N fertilization in Inter-Asian Corn Improvement Workshop, 1970.
- SPIERTZ, J.H.S. and N.M. DE VOS. 1983. Agronomical and physiological aspect off the role of nitrogen in yield formation of cereals. *Plant and Soil.* 75: 379-391.
- TABINGA, G.A. and A.O. GAGNI. 1974. Corn production in the Philippines. Published by the Department of DEVCOM, UPLB, College, Laguna, pp. 1-122.
- TOLLENAAR, M. and T.B. DAYNARD. 1978a. Relationship between assimilate source and reproductive sink in maize grown in a short season environment. *Agron. J.* 70: 219-223.
- _____. 1978b. Effect of defoliation on kernel development in maize. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 58: 199-206.
- VACHARATAYAN, S. JANTAWAT, S. DUANGPATRA, and P. KPUTKULL. 1965. Response of Guatemala corn to fertilization. *Kasetsart J.* 5(2): 101-119.
- WATSON, D.J. 1956. Leaf growth in relation to crop yield. 178-191. In Fi. Milthorpe (ed.). *The growth of leaves.* Butterworths, London.

- WATSON, D.J. 1952. The physiological basis of variation in yield. *Advance in Agron.* 4: 101-145.
- WILLEY, R.W. 1978a. Intercropping - Its importance and research needs. Part I. Competition and yield advantages. *Field Crop Abstr.* January 32(1): 1-10.
- WILLIAMS, W.W., R.S. LOOMIS, W.G. DUNCAN and F. NUNEZ. 1968. Canopy architecture at various population densities and the growth and grain yield of corn. *Crop Sci.* 8: 303-308.
- _____ and C.R. LEPLEY. 1965a. Vegetative growth of corn as affected by population density. I. Productivity in relation to interception of solar radiation. *Crop Sci.* 5: 215-219.
- YAMAGUCHI, J. 1974a. Varietal traits limiting the grain yield of tropical maize. I. Growth patterns as affected by altitude and season. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 20: 287-304.
- ZUBER, M.S., G.E. SMITH and C.W. GEHRKE. 1954. Crude protein of corn and storer as influenced by different hybrids, plant population and N level. *Agron. J.* 46: 257-261.