

**SCREENING FOR THE ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF SELECTED WEEDS
AGAINST MOTILE WATERBORNE BACTERIA FROM CLSU**

ANDREA G. LEGASPI

An Undergraduate Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the Department of Biological Sciences,
College of Arts and Sciences, Central Luzon State University,
Science City of Munoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines,
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

**BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN BIOLOGY
(Major in Microbiology)**

JULY 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURE	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	x
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xi
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	xiii
ABSTRACT	xv
INTRODUCTION	1
Background of the Study	1
Objectives of the Study	2
Significance of the Study	2
Scope and Limitation of the Study	3
Time and Place of the Study	3
REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	4
Antibacterial property	4
<i>Ruella tuberosa</i>	5
<i>Commelina benghalensis</i>	6
<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	7
Antibacterial property	8
Waterborne Bacteria	9
MATERIALS AND METHODS	11
Isolation, Cultural and Morphological Characterization	11
Source of bacteria	11
Isolation of bacteria	11
Purification of bacteria	11
Cultural identification of bacteria	12
Morphological characterization	13
Fresh mounting procedure	13
Gram staining procedure	13

Collection and identification of weeds	14
Evaluation of antibacterial assay	14
Preparation of Mueller Hinton Agar	15
Preparation of Bacterial suspension	15
Evaluation of Extract as Eradicant and Protectant	15
Data to be Gathered	18
Statistical Analysis	18
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	19
Isolated and Identified Bacteria	19
Identification of Weeds	22
Antibacterial Activity	25
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	28
Summary	28
Conclusion	28
Recommendation	29
LITERATURE CITED	30
APPENDICES	33

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Treatment per sample of Bacteria I and II	17
2	Cultural characteristics of two bacterial isolate	19
3	Zone of inhibition of ethanol extract after 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours of incubation against <i>Enterobacter cloacea</i>	25
4	Zone of inhibition of ethanol extract after 12 to 48 hours of incubation against <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> .	25
5	Zone of colonization of different treatments against <i>E. cloacea</i> from 12 to 48hours of incubation	26
6	Zone of colonization of different treatments against <i>E. cloacea</i> from 12 to 48hours of incubation	27

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	<i>Ruellia tuberosa</i> (putok putokan)	5
2	<i>Commelina benghalensiss</i> (alikhbangon)	6
3	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i> (bunga bunga)	7
4	Left to right: Pure culture <i>E. cloacea</i> , Gram stained <i>E. cloacea</i>	20
5	Left to Right: Pure Culture of <i>A. hydrophila</i> , Gram stained <i>A. hydrophila</i>	21
6	<i>Ruellia tuberosa</i>	22
7	<i>Commelina benghalensiss</i>	23
8	<i>Alternanthera sessilis</i>	24
9	Zone of colonization of different treatments against <i>E. cloacea</i> observed from left to right 12 to 48 hours of incubation.	26
10	Zone of colonization of different treatments against <i>A. hydrophila</i> observed from left to right 12 to 48 hours of incubation.	27

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
A	Analysis of variance	34
B	Certification	37
C	Morphological and cultural characteristics	40
D	Documentation	42

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

APPENDIX TABLE		PAGE
1	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>enterobacter cloacea</i> 12 hours incubation	34
2	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>enterobacter cloacea</i> 24 hours incubation	34
3	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>enterobacter cloacea</i> 36 hours incubation	34
4	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>enterobacter cloacea</i> 48 hours incubation	35
5	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>aeromonas hydrophila</i> 12 hours incubation	35
6	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>aeromonas hydrophila</i> 24 hours incubation	35
7	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>aeromonas hydrophila</i> 36 hours incubation	36
8	One-way analysis of variance of the antibacterial activity as eradicant of the weed extracts against <i>aeromonas hydrophila</i> 48 hours incubation	36

LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

APPENDIX FIGURE		PAGE
1	Certificate of the Identification of selected weeds	37
2	Certificate of the Identification of Bacteria 1	38
3	Certificate of the Identification of Bacteria 5	39
4	Motility test of <i>Enterobacter cloacea</i>	40
5	Stab culture of <i>Enterobacter cloacea</i>	40
6	Oxygen requirement culture of <i>Enterobacter cloacea</i>	41
7	Motility test of <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	41
8	Stab culture of <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	42
9	Oxygen requirement of <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	42
10	Grinding the Plant samples	43
11	Weighing of pulverized <i>Ruellia tuberosa</i>	43
12	Soaking in ethanol	44
13	Filtration using Whattman no. 1	44
14	Preparation of disc	45
15	Pouring of extract of weeds	45
16	Spreading of bacteria	46
17	Seeding od disc	46
18	12 to 48 hours of incubation of treatment against <i>E. cloacea</i> and <i>A. hydrophila</i>	47

ABSTRACT

LEGASPI, ANDREA G., Department of Biological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Central Luzon State University, Science City of Munoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines, **JULY 2019, SCREENING FOR THE ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF SELECTED WEEDS AGAINST MOTILE WATERBORNE BACTERIA FROM CLSU**

Adviser: FEDERICO G. PINEDA, M.Sc.

Waterborne diseases are increasingly taking the attention of the public health, waterborne from the different environment. Two motile waterborne bacteria from the sewage inside the CLSU near the lady's dorm 8 were isolated and identified and used to observe the antibacterial property as eradicant and protectant of the three identified weeds that was obtained inside CLSU near the sewage of lady's dorm 8 are dried and powdered, the plant used ethanol as the solvent for extraction. Ethanol at 95% was used as negative control, and cefotaxime was used as positive control. The three (3) extracts as eradicant and protectant are not effective against *Enterobacter cloacea* and *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Therefore the phytochemical of the weed extracts are not effective against *E. cloacea* and *A. hydrophila*. Old practice of medicinal plants for treating various diseases still has potential source of anti-effective.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anitha, R., & Kanimozhi, S., (2012). Pharmacognostic evaluation of *Alternanthera sessilis* (L) R. Br. ex. DC. *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 4(8), 31-34.
- Arirudran, B., Saraswathy, A., & Krishnamurthy, V., (2011). Antimicrobial activity of *ruellia tuberosa* L. (Whole Plant). *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 3, 91-95.
- Arirudran, B., & Saraswathy, A., & Krishnamurthy, V., (2011). Pharmacognostic and preliminary phytochemical on *Ruellia tuberosa*. *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 3(22), 29-34
- Bhuvaneswari, S., Aravind, R., Kaviyarasan, V., Kalaivanan, K., Hariram, S.K., (2011). A comparative study on antibacterial activity of common weeds. *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences*, 2, 677-683.
- Castillo, F.Y.R., Muro, A.L., Mario, J., Garneau, P., Gonzalez, F.J.A., Harel, J., & Barrera, A.L.G., (2015). Waterborne pathogens: detection methods and challenges. *Journal Pathogens*, 4, 307- 334.
- Chotani, D.L., Patel, M.B., Mishra, S.H., & Vaghasiya, H.U., (2010). Review on *ruellia tuberosa* (Cracker plant). *Pharmacognosy Journal*, 2, 506-512.
- Cipriano, R. C., Bullock, G. L., & Pyle, S. W., (2001). *Aeromonas hydrophila* and motile *Aeromonad* septicemias of fish. United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Division of Fishery Research Washington, D. C. 20240, 68 (1998), 1-24.
- Citterio, B., & Biavasco, F., (2015). *Aeromonas hydrophila* virulence. *Taylor and Francis group LLC, virulence*, 6(5), 417-418.
- Dahanukar, S.A., Kulkarni, R.A. & Rege, N.N., (2000). Pharmacology of medicinal plants and natural products. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, 32, S81-118.
- Ferrell, J. A., MacDonald, G. E., & Leon, R., (2000). Benghal dayflower (*Commelina benghalensis*, L.) *Identification and Control*, Agronomy Department, UF/IFAS Extension SS AGR 223.
- Hendra, R., Ahmad, S., Sukari, A., Shukor, M. Y., & Oskoueian, E., (2011). Flavonoid analyses and antimicrobial activity of various parts of *Phaleria Macrocarpa* (Scheff.) Boerl Fruit. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 12, 3422-343.

- Hossain, A.I., Faisal, M., Rahman, S., Jahan, R., & Rahmatullah, M., (2014). A preliminary evaluation of antihyperglycemic and analgesic activity of *alternanthera sessilis* aerial parts. *Biomedical central C Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 14(169), 1472-6882.
- Ibrahim, J., Ajaegbu, V.C., & Egharevba, H.O., (2010). Pharmacognostic and phytochemical analysis of *Commelina benghalensis* L. *Ethnobotanical Leaflets*, 14, 610-615.
- Jalalpure, S.S., Agrawal, N., Patil, M.B., Chimkode, R., & Tripathi, A., (2008). Antimicrobial and wound healing activities of leaves of *Alternanthera sessilis* Linn. *International Journal of Green Pharmacy*, 2(3), 141-144.
- Jin, D., kong, X., Cui, B., Jin, S., Xie, Y., Wang, X., & Deng, Y., (2018). Bacterial communities and potential waterborne pathogens within the typical urban surface waters. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 1-9.
- Khare, C. P., (2007). *Indian medicinal plants: an illustrated dictionary*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1, 181-182.
- Leclerc, H., Schwartzbrod, L., & Dei-cas, E., (2002). Microbial agents associated in waterborne diseases. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 28(4), 371-409.
- Michael Janda, J., & Abbot, S.L., (2010). The genus *Aeromonas*: taxonomy, pathogenicity, and infection. *Clinical Microbiologist Reviews*, 23(1), 35-75.
- Nascimento, G. G., Locatelli, J., Freitas, P. C., & Silva, G. L., (2000). Antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytochemicals on antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 31, 247-256.
- Nayak, P., Nayak, S., Kar, D.M., & Das, P., (2010). Pharmacological evaluation of ethanolic extracts of the plant *Alternanthera sessilis* against temperature regulation. *Journal of Pharmacy Research*, 3(6), 1381-1383.
- Poppof, M., & Veron, M., (1976). A taxonomic study of the *Aeromonas hydrophila* *Aeromonas punctate* group. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 94, 11-22.
- Prakash, K.H., Harini, A.R., & Prasanna (2014). A review on insulin plant (*Costus igneus* Nak). *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 8(15), 67-72.
- Raquibul Hasan, S. M., Mokarram Hossain, Md., Akter, R., Jamila, M., Ehsanul Hoque Mazumder, Md., & Rahman, S., (2009). Sedative and anxiolytic effects of different fractions of the *Commelina benghalensis* Linn. *Original Article, Drug Discovery Therapeutics*, 3(5), 221-227.

Regli, A.N., & Pages, J.M., (2015). *Enterobacter aerogenes* and *enterobacter cloacea*; versatile bacterial pathogens confronting antibiotic treatment. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(392), 1-10.

Subhashini, T., Krishnaveni, B., & Srinivas Reddy, C., (2010). Anti- Inflammatory Activity of Leaf Extracts of *Alternanthera sessilis*. *Hygeia Journal for drugs and medicine*, 2(1), 54-56.